# A Performance Ranking of Seven Different Types of Loudspeaker Line Arrays

#### D. B. (Don) Keele, Jr.

DBK Associates and Labs Bloomington, IN 47408 <u>www.DBKeele.com</u> (AES Paper Given Nov. 2010)

# Overview

#### Introduction

- Arrays Analyzed
- Arrays Not Analyzed
- Performance Parameters Evaluated
- Array Simulation Conditions
- Performance Ranking
- Analysis
- Analysis Results and Ranking
- Summary

# **Arrays Analyzed**

- 1. An un-shaded straight-line array
- 2. A Hann-shaded straight-line array
- 3. An un-shaded "J"-line array with straight top half and circular-arc bottom half
- 4. An un-shaded spiral- or progressive-line array
- 5. An un-shaded circular-arc array
- 6. A Legendre-shaded circular-arc CBT array
- 7. A Legendre-shaded delay-curved straight-line CBT array

# Arrays Not Analyzed!

- Any array that requires complex DSP frequencydependent processing.
- Other potentially complicated constant beamwidth designs such as:
  - Arrays that maintain a constant acoustic aperture size in wavelengths.
  - Horbach-Keele pair-wise symmetric multi-way crossover-based designs.
  - Etc.

# Caution! Disclaimer!

- Take what you are going to hear in the following presentation with a grain of salt!
- CBT = <u>C</u>onstant <u>B</u>eamwidth <u>T</u>ransducer
- The CBT technology was first described by the U.S. Military in a series of JASA papers describing simple spherical-cap underwater transducers that provide wideband extremely constant beamwidth and directivity behavior with virtually no side lobes.
- I was the first to apply the CBT concept to loudspeakers.

## • Therefore, I'm biased!!!







8











# Performance Parameters Evaluated

- Beamwidth uniformity
- Directivity uniformity
- Vertical sound-field uniformity
- Polar side lobe suppression
- Uniformity of polar response
- Smoothness and flatness of off-axis frequency response
- Sound pressure rolloff versus distance, and
- Near-far polar pattern uniformity.

# **Array Simulation Conditions**

- All modeled arrays were 2m high and composed of 100 equal-spaced point sources.
- Each of the circular-arc arrays were 60°.
- No complicated signal processing was permitted except for frequency-independent inter-element shading and delay, plus in-line equalization to flatten the frequency response at a specific location.
- All data was calculated at one-third-octave intervals over the range of 20 Hz to 20 kHz.

### **Array Rotation Point for Polars**

 Most arrays were rotated around the front center of the array.

curvature.

 The circular-arc arrays were rotated around the arc's center of

# **Performance Ranking**

- The eight performance parameters were simulated for each array type and then *subjectively* (by me!) scored on a scale from 1 to 10 with 10 highest.
- The subjective score of the eight parameters was then used to rank each array with respect to each other for each parameter.
- The final array ranking was calculated by adding up the individual performance parameter scores for each array in a table which then determined the final ranking.

# Analysis: Beamwidth Uniformity Ideal Beamwidth





#### Un-shaded straight-line array



#### • Hann-shaded straight-line array



#### • Un-shaded "J"-line array



• Un-shaded spiral- or progressive-line array



#### Un-shaded circular-arc array



• Legendre-shaded CBT circular-arc array



• Legendre-shaded delay-curved CBT straight-line array



### **Beamwidth Ranking**





# Analysis: Directivity Uniformity Ideal Directivity



Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays

28



#### • Un-shaded straight-line array



#### • Hann-shaded straight-line array



#### • Un-shaded "J"-line array



#### • Un-shaded spiral- or progressive-line array



#### • Un-shaded circular-arc array



#### • Legendre-shaded CBT circular-arc array



#### • Legendre-shaded delay-curved CBT straight-line array


#### **Directivity Ranking** Un-Shaded "J"-Line Array **Un-Shaded Straight-Line Array** Shaded Straight-Line Array 30 30 1000 1000 30 1000 - dB ab å Directivity Index - dB å ø DIRECTIVITY INDEX and Q DIRECTIVITY INDEX and Q DIRECTIVITY INDEX and Q **Directivity Factor Directivity Factor Directivity Factor** 20 100 20 100 20 100 **Directivity Index Directivity Index** 10 10 10 10 10 10 -10 0.1 0.1 -10 0.1 20 10k 20k 20 10k 20k 20 10k 20k 100 1k 100 1k 100 1k Frequency - Hz Frequency - Hz Frequency - Hz **Un-Shaded Spiral-Line Array** 30 1000 ø Directivity Index - dB DIRECTIVITY INDEX and Q **Directivity Factor** 20 100 10 10 -10 0.1 20 100 1k 10k 20k Frequency - Hz Shaded Delay-Curved CBT Straight-Line array **Un-Shaded Circular-Arc Line Array** Shaded Circular-Arc CBT Line Array 30 1000 30 1000 30 1000 Directivity Index - dB ø å Directivity Index - dB Directivity Factor - Q Directivity Index - dB DIRECTIVITY INDEX and Q DIRECTIVITY INDEX and Q DIRECTIVITY INDEX and Q **Directivity Factor Directivity Factor** 20 100 20 100 20 100 10 10 10 10 10 10 -10 0.1 -10 0.1 -10 0.1 20 100 1k 10k 20k 20 100 1k 10k 20k 20 100 1k 10k 20k Frequency - Hz Frequency - Hz Frequency - Hz Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays 37



# Analysis: Sound-Field Uniformity Ideal Vertical Sound-Field



-100 -

0

50

100

Inches

150

Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays

-40

# Un-Shaded Straight-Line Array Shaded Straight-Line Array Un-Shaded "J"-Line Array





1000 H 100 50 Inches -50 -100 100 Inches 50 150 200 50 100 150 200 0 0

Un-Shaded Spiral-Line Array



#### Shaded Delay-Curved CBT Straight-Line array



### Un-Shaded Circular-Arc Line Array



#### Shaded Circular-Arc CBT Line Array



Un-shaded straight-line array



#### • Hann-shaded straight-line array





• Un-shaded spiral- or progressive-line array



Un-shaded circular-arc array





Un-shaded circular-arc array



#### • Legendre-shaded CBT circular-arc array



• Legendre-shaded delay-curved CBT straight-line array



# Analysis: Sound-Field Ranking



Shaded Straight-Line Array



Un-Shaded "J"-Line Array



Un-Shaded Spiral-Line Array



#### Un-Shaded Circular-Arc Line Array Shaded Circular



#### Shaded Circular-Arc CBT Line Array



#### Shaded Delay-Curved CBT Straight-Line array





### Note: The appendix of my paper has a complete set of octave sound-fields for each array from 125 Hz to 16 kHz!





Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays





• Un-shaded straight-line array





### Hann-shaded straight-line array











#### Legendre-shaded CBT circular-arc array



High Frequencies (8 kHz)



#### Legendre-shaded delay-curved CBT straight-line array Mid Frequencies (1 kHz) High Frequencies (8 kHz)







# Analysis: Uniformity of Vertical Polar Response with Frequency





Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays

# Analysis: Uniformity of Vertical Polar Response with Frequency





Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays



Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays

# Analysis: Uniformity of Polar Response with Frequency Ranking



### Note: The appendix of my paper has a complete set of octave polars for each array from 125 Hz to 16 kHz!



Ideally, the off-axis frequency response should be well-behaved, smooth and flat, and be independent of distance. In order to assess this, the off-axis frequency response of the arrays was simulated at two distances of 3 m and 18 m. Frequency responses were simulated at six onand off-axis angles from o° to 30°, with a step of 6°, with the on-axis response equalized flat.



Un-shaded straight-line array

3 m



18 m

Hann-shaded straight-line array

3 m

18 m







• Un-shaded spiral- or progressive-line array


# Analysis: Smoothness and Flatness of Off-Axis Response

Un-shaded circular-arc array



# Analysis: Smoothness and Flatness of **Off-Axis Response**

Legendre-shaded CBT circular-arc array

3 m Frequency Response vs. Angle at a Distance of 3 m





# Analysis: Smoothness and Flatness of Off-Axis Response

Legendre-shaded delay-curved CBT straight-line array



# Analysis: Smoothness and Flatness of Off-Axis Response Ranking



Shaded Straight-Line Array



Un-Shaded Spiral-Line Array





Un-Shaded Circular-Arc Line Array



#### Shaded Circular-Arc CBT Line Array

1k

Frequency - Hz

10k 20

10k 20

100

1k

Frequency - Hz







# Analysis: Sound Pressure Level vs. Distance



The sound pressure level (SPL) versus distance of the arrays was evaluated at octave frequencies of 62.5 Hz to 8 kHz. The SPL vs. distance was evaluated at two different array launch heights: a) the center of the array and b) the top of the array.

# SPL Launch Points and Trajectories



#### Un-Shaded Straight-Line Array



#### Shaded Straight-Line Array

32 H2 71 H5 250 H2 500 H2 1 H82 4 H82 6 M82 -6 dB Slope







#### Un-Shaded Spiral-Line Array

DISTANCE - In



#### Un-Shaded Circular-Arc Line Array



#### Shaded Circular-Arc CBT Line Array



### Shaded Delay-Curved CBT Straight-Line array



#### Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays

### • Un-shaded straight-line array

### From Center





Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays

### • Hann-shaded straight-line array

### From Center



### From Top



Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays







### • Legendre-shaded CBT circular-arc array







Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays



### Analysis: SPL vs. Distance Ranking

### Un-Shaded Straight-Line Array



#### Shaded Straight-Line Array



### Un-Shaded Spiral-Line Array





# 

### Un-Shaded Circular-Arc Line Array



### Shaded Circular-Arc CBT Line Array



### Shaded Delay-Curved CBT Straight-Line array



#### Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays

# Analysis: Near-Far Polar Pattern Uniformity

- The polar pattern of the array was evaluated for its uniformity with distance.
- The following graphs show polar pattern shapes and beamwidth vs. frequency data at three distances from the array: 2 m, 6 m, and 18 m.
- Two sets of polars are shown for the three distances at 800 Hz and 8 kHz.
- This information yields a reasonable estimate of the changes in the polar patterns with distance.

### Analysis: Near-Far Polar Pattern

### Uniformity





### Un-Shaded "J"-Line Array 6 m 800 Hz: 8 kHz:

Beamwidth:

# 







### Shaded Circular-Arc CBT Line Array



### Shaded Delay-Curved **CBT Straight-Line array**











### Analysis: Near-Far Polar Pattera Uniformity • Un-shaded circular-arc array 6 m 18 m 2,m +30° +30° +30°

800 Hz:

-180°

Vert 800 Hz

8 kHz:



-180

Vert 800 Hz

-90°



-90°

-90°

-180°

Vert 800 Hz

Nov. 4, 2010 AES San Francisco Keele - Ranking of Loudspeaker Line Arrays +30°

#### Analysis: Near-Far Polar Pattern Uniformity Legendre-shaded CBT circular-arc array 6\_m 18 m 2.m +30° +30° +30° 800 Hz: -180° -180 -180° Vert 800 Hz Vert 800 Hz Vert 800 Hz -90° -90° +90 +90+30° +30° +30° 8 kHz: -180° -180 -180° Vert 8 kHz Vert 8 kHz -90° Vert 8 kHz Beamwidth (-6 DB) - Degs 001 - 001 01 - 001 01 - 002 01 - 003 010 01 - 003 01 - 003 01 - 003 01 - 003 01 - 003 **Beamwidth:** 1<sub>20</sub> 20 20 10k 20k 100 10k 20k 100 1000 10k 20k 100 1000 1000 Frequency - Hz Frequency - Hz Frequency - Hz

### Analysis: Near-Far Polar Pattern Uniformity

Legendre-shaded delay-curved CBT straight-line array



### Analysis: Near-Far Polar Pattern

### **Uniformity Ranking**





### Un-Shaded "J"-Line Array 800 Hz: 8 kHz: Beamwidth:

### Un-Shaded Spiral-Line Array



#### Un-Shaded Circular-Arc Line Array



#### Shaded Circular-Arc CBT Line Array



### Shaded Delay-Curved CBT Straight-Line array



# Analysis Results and Final Ranking

#### TABLE: ARRAY PERFORMANCE RANKING

+			-				
Array Type,	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Across:	Un-shaded straight-line	Hann-shaded straight-line	Un-shaded "J"-line	Un-shaded spiral-line	Un-shaded circular-arc	Legendre- shaded CBT	Legendre- shaded delay-
Array	allay	allay	allay	array	allay	arrav	straight-line
Performance						,	array
Parameter,							
Down:							
Beamwidth uniformity:	2	2	1	9	8	10	10
Directivity uniformity:	1	1	4	7	5	10	10
Vertical sound- field uniformity:	1	4	2	2	4	10	10
Polar side lobe suppression:	1	9	6	7	7	10	10
Uniformity of polar response:	1	2	5	6	6	10	10
Smoothness and flatness of off-axis frequency response:	1	2	1	3	8	10	9
Sound pressure rolloff versus distance:	2	1	3	5	8	10	9
Near-far polar pattern uniformity:	1	2	4	7	8	10	9
TOTALS: (Scale 8 to 80)	10	23	26	46	54	80	77

# Analysis Results and Final

## Ranking

#### TABLE: ARRAY PERFORMANCE RANKING

-

Array Type, Across: Array Performance Parameter, Down:	(1) Un-shaded straight-line array	(2) Hann-shaded straight-line array	(3) Un-shaded "J"-line array	(4) Un-shaded spiral-line array	(5) Un-shaded circular-arc array	(6) Legendre- shaded CBT circular-arc array	(7) Legendre- shaded delay- curved CBT straight-line array
Beamwidth uniformity:	2	2	1	9	8	10	10
Directivity uniformity:	1	1	4	7	5	10	10
Vertical sound- field uniformity:	1	4	2	2	4	10	10
Polar side lobe suppression:	1	9	6	7	7	10	10
Uniformity of polar response:	1	2	5	6	6	10	10
Smoothness and flatness of off-axis frequency response:	1	2	1	3	8	10	9
Sound pressure rolloff versus distance:	2	1	3	5	8	10	9
Near-far polar pattern uniformity:	1	2	4	7	8	10	9
TOTALS: (Scale 8 to 80)	10	23	26	46	54	80	77

## **Analysis Results and Ranking**

#### TABLE: ARRAY PERFORMANCE RANKING

Array Type,	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
Across:	Un-shaded straight-line array	Hann-shaded straight-line array	Un-shaded "J"-line array	Un-shaded spiral-line array	Un-shaded circular-arc array	Legendre- shaded CBT circular-arc	Legendre- shaded delay- curved CBT	
Performance						array	straight-line array	
Parameter, Down:	7	6	5	4	3	1	2	
Beamwidth uniformity:	2	2	1	9	8	10	10	
Directivity uniformity:	1	1	4	7	5	10	10	
Vertical sound- field uniformity:	1	4	2	2	4	10	10	
Polar side lobe suppression:	1	9	6	7	7	10	10	
Uniformity of polar response:	1	2	5	6	6	10	10	
Smoothness and flatness of off-axis frequency response:	1	2	1	3	8	10	9	
Sound pressure rolloff versus distance:	2	1	3	5	8	10	9	
Near-far polar pattern uniformity:	1	2	4	7	8	10	9	
TOTALS: (Scale 8 to 80)	10	23	26	46	54	80	77	

### Final Ranking (with scores, range 8 - 80)

- 1. CBT circular-arc array: 80
- 2. CBT delay-curved straight-line array: 77
- 3. Un-shaded circular-arc array: 54
- **4.** Spiral-line array: 46
- 5. "J"-line array: 26
- 6. Straight-line array (Hann shaded): 23
- 7. Straight-line array (not shaded): 10

## Summary

- This paper presented simulated performance data that allowed several different types of loudspeaker line arrays to be compared and ranked.
- The performance data for all the arrays was subjectively ranked for each performance type on a scale from 1 to 10 and then totaled (scale 8 to 80) for each array, to yield the final array rankings.

## Summary, Cont.

- The performance data clearly shows the *superiority of the circular-arc and delay-curved CBT arrays*. All the performance data for these two arrays was extremely uniform and well behaved.
- The Legendre-shaded *circular-arc CBT array is clearly the winner here* because of its uniformity of coverage and the independence of its performance with distance.
- The performance of the *delay-curved straight-line CBT array is also very uniform and well behaved* and nearly matches the performance of the circular-arc CBT array.
- A distant third to the CBT arrays is the spiral-line array with the remaining "J"-line and straight-line arrays holding up the bottom of the rankings.

# The End.

# Phew, I'm glad that guy stopped talking!!!